SINGLE BENCH 4

P.R. No. 3994/06
Presented by Shri JD Tirkey
dated 28/12/06

Ord/1007

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3927 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Smt. F. Tirkey, Wife of Shri Soul Kujur, aged about 52 years, Posted as Lady Health Visitor, in Health Centre, Jondhra, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY 2/1/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Under Secretary, Department of Health and Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP.NO...3927 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	7.8.2006:- Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents on advance copy. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 6-7-2006, Annexure-P/1, whereby she has been transferred from Health Centre, Jondhra, District Bilaspur to Community Health Centre, Amoda, District Kanker. The main grievance of the petitioner is that she is a low paid employee and she has been transferred out of the district about 300 km away from the present place of posting, that too on the complaint of some political persons as they were not invited in the flag hoisting ceremony. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition and annexures to the respondent No.1 raising all her grievances within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का ले ांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	Till then, the effect and operation of the impugned order in respect of the petitioner shall remain stayed, if the petitioner is not relieved. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3048/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.

11.8.07